

The Supreme People's Court

**"Interpretation on Certain Issues in Applications of Law in Adjudicating Civil Trade Secret Cases"
(Draft for Public Comments): Submission of comments**

Organization: International Association for the Protection of Intellectual Property of Japan

Contact: Koichi TSUJII

About	Part of proposed correction	Reason for correction
Art. 14 (I)	If there is no substantive difference between the alleged infringed information and the trade secrets claimed by the claimant and no substantive impact on the use of the trade secrets, the people's court may determine that the alleged infringed information and the trade secrets are "substantially the same" according to Article 32 (II) of the Anti-unfair Competition Law.	We do not understand very well what it exactly means by "if there is no substantive impact on the use of the trade secrets." We hope that you will make this part clearer, e.g. by specifying the use of ... "by whom."